Number of problem villages

2472. DR. SANJAYA SINH: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the State-wise number of 'No Source' problem villages in the country at present which could not be provided safe drinking water facilities so far; and

(b) what steps Government propose to take to provide safe drinking water supply to those problem villages at the earliest?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI UTTAMBHAI H. PATEL): (a) As on 31.1.92, 4581 'No Source' problem villages remained to be provided safe drinking water facilities in the following States:

S. No.	Name of State	No. of residual problem villages.
1	Assam	83
2	Gujarat	45
3	Haryana	23
4	Himachal Pradesh	570
5	J & K	604
6	Madhya Pradesh	56
7	Maharashtra	50
8	Meghalaya.	971
9	Nagaland	5
10	Orissa	998
11	Punjab	657
12	Rajasthan	118
13	Tripura	10
14	Uttar Pradesh	391
	TOTAL	4581

(b) Special Central assistance of Rs. 135.81 crore has been released over and above the normal allocation under Accelerated Rural Water Supply Programme to the State Governments of Assam, Himachal Pradesh, Jammu and Kashmir, Meghalaya, Orissa, Punjab, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh, to provide safe drinking water supply to all the above problem villages by 31.3.93.

Misuse of Rozgar Funds

2473. DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI: Will the PRIME MINISER be pleased to state the details of complaints received from each State regarding misuse of Rozgar funds?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI G. VENKAT SWAMY): Jawahan Rozgas Yojana (JRY) is being implemented by District Rural Development Agencies (DRDAs)/Zila Parishads (ZPs) at district level and by Village Panchayat at village level. Considering the number of DRDAs/ZPs and Village Panchayats involved in the implementation of the Yojana in the country, number of complaints regarding misuse of JRY funds by the implementing agencies are relatively very few. During the period 1991-92, about 47 complaints have been received.

The subject matter of the com-Plaints are mostly against the head of the Village Panchayats, the substance of which is as under:—

(i) that the deveopment plan of the village has not been discussed in the meeting of the village panchaiyat.

(ii) that the Pradhan has executed the work through Contractor.

(iii) that very little amount has been spent against the amount shown as expended.

(iv) that funds have been utilised for personal benefits.